#### **Course Outcomes Guide (COG)**

**Course Title:** EGR 103 Introduction to Engineering Design **Course Team:** Ed Sigler

**Update Date:** 12/15/2017

#### Expected Learning Outcomes

- 1. Apply knowledge of mathematics, science and engineering to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems,
- 2. Design and conduct experiments and analyze and interpret data.
- 3. Function in multi-disciplinary teams and develop an understanding of group dynamics
- 4. Develop computer skills including spreadsheets, mathematics software, word processors, graphical presentation software, and engineering drawing software and the use of the internet and online databases for research,
- 5. Develop basic computer programming skills.
- 6. Develop an understanding of the role engineers play in our modern society, and engineering ethics.
- 7. Develop communicate skills including oral, written and visual (engineering drawing).

### Assessment

1. Students apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering by the completion of engineering homework problems and the completion of in class exams.

2. Engineering design project requires students to design a robot to complete a specified function, test the design, analyze test data, and modify the design based upon the data generated.

3. Robotics project and Mission to Mars design project are team assignments Students will be evaluated by the instructor and their peers on their ability to work effectively in a team.

4. Students will conduct online research to develop flight components for the mission to mars project. Students will use word processing and presentation software to develop Robot and Mission to Mars final reports and presentation packages. Students will learn and be evaluated on their ability to effectively use CREO Parametric software to generate computer models and generate usable parts for the Robotics project, and the use of MATLAB to solve engineering problems.

5. Students will be evaluated on their computer programming ability during the design project. They will be require to develop, write, and troubleshoot computer programs required to control robot functions.

6. Students are evaluated on their understanding of the role of engineers in society and engineering ethics by the writing of short research papers on the topics.

7. Students interact within the Robotics and the Mission to Mars design project teams where they communicate within their teams. Students prepare a written report detailing development, design and

results for both projects. Further, each member of the Mission to Mars teams is required to prepare and present to the class.

# Validation

Exams for each section will contain the same questions. Exams will be analyzed to see if students, on average, demonstrate common area of weaknesses. Projects are assessed against the consistent criteria for each section and semester.

## Results

Data from 2013 through Fall 2017 has been analyzed. See Table below.

## **Major findings**

- 1. The Mission to Mars project was in Fall 2014 instituted and requires the students to work as a team, follow the design process and develop group participation skills. The majority of students have provided very positive feedback on the project.
- 2. A large majority of students have not had basic computer programming in high school. Math workspace software requires significant time and continued re-enforcement through inclusion within other activities.
- 3. Basic trigonometry and vector mathematics is an issue for some students.
- 4. The alternating lab/lecture schedule works well unless there are significant schedule disruptions. Spring 2015 had numerous snow delays which caused the early morning class to be cancelled. This caused some schedule compression and schedule rework. This contributed to student poor scores. In general, students seemed to be very dis-engaged for the duration of the SP 2015 semester.
- 5. The course textbook is expensive and not well-received by the students.
- 6. Course is very broad in topics covered.

# Follow-up

- 1. For Finding 1 -- The individual research assignment was changed in SP 14 to a group research and design project -- Mission to Mars where multi-member teams (3-4) students are assigned a component of an envisioned Mission to Mars spacecraft where the students must perform research, collaborate internal to the team and also external with other teams, generate a detailed report and present the findings to the class. The reception to this project was much improved over the individual assignment.
- 2. For Finding 2 -- MATLAB will become a focus for re-enforcement within EGR 103 and other engineering courses. The EGR 103 lab component includes 5 interactive sessions and a final inclass project support session.
- 3. A trig and vector review has been incorporated into the initial course lectures for review of concepts to assist students as these concepts are fundamental to the solution of many engineering problems. This was further amplified starting FA 17.

- 4. Course lecture schedule was modified slightly to promote flexibility in schedule. However, course time is still required to be successful. All Lectures and Lab Session presentations are provided on Moodle for student access. Worked problems, sample MATLAB scripts and functions and sample LEGO EV3 programs are provided on Moodle for student access and review.
- 5. The textbook was changed to a more affordable basic intro to engineering-style textbook as of FA 17. Initial indications are that it was well received.
- 6. Course re-focused in FA 17 with new text to focus on engineering design process (two design projects), engineering method/problem solving, basic engineering tools (Matlab and Creo). Specific engineering topics limited to introductions Statics and Mechanics of Materials. Plan to change to Statics and Electric Circuits for SP 18.

Course: EGR 103		SLOA Data		Faculty Team: E. Sigler					
	FA 2013	SP 2014	FA 2014	SP 2015	FA 2015	SP 2016	FA 2016	SP 2017	FA 2017
# Active students	32	13	23	12	24	14	16	15	23
%W	10%	0%	5%	25%	0%	21%	6%	0%	0%
*# walk-away Fs No final exam/grade = F	2	0	6	2	1	3	2	2	1
% Success (A,B,C)	90	100**		75%**	87.5%	78.6%	75%	87%	87%
Common Comprehensive Final Exam Score Average	74.7 N=	72.2 N= 13	77.08 N=16	49.9 N=9	75.0% N=22	69.0% N=11	71.8% N=15	71.1% N=13	72.5% N=22
Mean course grade	2.83	3.00	2.18	2.0***	2.58	2.36	2.41	2.8	2.77
Item Analysis Weakest Content Areas	Problem Solving (Electrical Circuits)	Problem Solving (Statics)	Problem Solving (Conceptu al with unit conversio ns)	Problem Solving (Conceptu al, Statics, Mech of Materials)	Problem Solving (Statics)	Problem Solving (Electrical Circuits; Unit Conversion s)	Problem Solving (Statics)	Problem Solving (Statics)	Problem Solving (Statics)

\*% Walk-away Fs = Did not take the final exam and received a grade of F.

\*\* - 1 student changed to AU prior to end of class.

\*\*\* - Students missed 3 class periods due to snow days. However, material was covered in class with examples and discussions.